

**MINUTES OF THE
IDAHO STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY
MARCH 3, 2011**

SPRING HILL SUITES-MARRIOTT – PARK CENTER - BOISE, IDAHO

This meeting of the Board is held to conduct regular Board business.

Chairman Holly Henggeler, Pharm D, called the meeting to order on March 3, 2011 at 8:01 a.m. In attendance were Board members Berk Fraser, R.Ph.; Nicole Chopski, Pharm D; and Rich de Blaquiére, Pharm D; Mark Johnston, R.Ph., Executive Director; Jenifer Marcus, DAG; Andy Snook, DAG; Jan Atkinson, Senior Compliance Officer; Lisa Culley, Compliance Officer; Mike Brown, Compliance Officer; Gina Knittel, Compliance Officer; and Wendy Hatten.

The minutes from the January 6, 2011 meeting were reviewed. Mr. Fraser motioned to approve the minutes of January 6, 2011, with minor corrections. Dr. de Blaquiére seconded. The motion carried unanimously.

Sarah Blain, Pharm D, Regional Clinical Pharmacist for Rite Aid, presented to the Board on immunizations and requested that the Board address the restriction within pending rule 166 which limits pharmacists to immunizing only per CDC or other governmental agency recommendations. After much discussion, which included the implications of House Bill #218, which would allow pharmacists to prescribe immunizations, if approved, the Board tabled the discussion until later in the meeting.

Dr. Henggeler welcomed Lynette Berggren, contracted paralegal, and asked Mr. Johnston to lead a discussion concerning the project of rewriting all of the Board's rules. Mr. Johnston reported that the current draft looked considerably different than the prior drafts, as much time was dedicated to reorganization and codifying the rules, while much of the language remains the same. This draft contains many new topics and the only missing topic pertains to Veterinary Drug Outlets (VDO). Mr. Johnston hopes to incorporate the Board's direction from today's discussion and the VDO rules into a draft which will be presented at the 4/28/11 meeting, in hopes that the Board's direction at the 4/28/11 meeting is minimal enough that a draft can be posted on the Board's web site, pursuant to a Notice of Intent to Promulgate Rules-Negotiated Rule Making, in the May Idaho Bulletin. The Notice would include dates and locations of meetings around the State that Mr. Johnston intends to hold. One date is tentatively scheduled as 6/2/11, as part of the NW Convention in Coeur d'Alene. Proposed language would be due by August of 2011 in order for the 2012 legislature to hear these changes.

After considerable discussion, the Board gave the following direction:

- Rule 008: strike the requirement that policies and procedures are to be "reviewed at least annually".

- Rule 009: Ms Berggren is to research how other states address discipline from other Board's of Pharmacy.
- Rule 010: definitions: harmonize the presentation of each term with its definition (Ex. In 010.04, delete "this term refers to", 010.15 "the term distributor means").
- Rule 010.05: Mr. Johnston to research issues surrounding in-state central order entry facilities
- Rule 010.08: change of ownership to be defined as a change in the majority of ownership
- Rule 010.09: title to read "charitable clinic or center: authorized personnel"
- Rules 010.10, 010.11, 010.12, 010.37: change "practitioner" to "prescriber" here and throughout the draft.
- Rule 010.13: Mr. Johnston asks the Board to strike the definition of compounding as part of a larger decision: due to the size, complexity, and controversy surrounding compounding rules, the Board decides to eliminate compounding from this draft until the topic can be addressed the year following the introduction of the new rule book to the legislature.
- Rules 010.14 & 010.40: a decision on the definitions of continuous quality improvement program and quality-related event were tabled until Ms Berggren could present a power point presentation on this topic.
- Rule 010.17: drug product substitution was defined as "dispensing a drug product other than prescribed without the express permission of the prescriber".
- Rule 010.18: the definition of drug therapy management was struck as the term medication therapy management is now used.
- Rule 010.20: the definition of emergency is moved to rule 050, as this definition is specific to the circumstances that would allow an emergency, verbal schedule II prescription drug order.
- Rules 010.22 & 010.29: strike "medical facility", "correctional institution", "facility or institution" and use the harmonized term "institutional facility".
- Rules 010.28, 010.30, 010.57: strike the definition of "legend drug", "manufacturer", and "wholesaler", as the statutory definition is to be utilized.
- Rule 010.31.c: strike "selecting, initiating, modifying" from the definition of "medication therapy management", and work this into collaborative practice rules.

- Rule 010.32: strike the definition of “normal distribution channel”, as it is a duplicate of statute.
- Rule 010.33: strike the definition of “non-legend” drug, as it is self explanatory.
- Rule 010.35: un-strike “by a pharmacist” from the definition of pharmaceutical care, as the Board determines that other health care providers can perform medication therapy management, but not provide pharmaceutical care. Also strike the word “services”.
- Rule 010.36: strike extraneous definition of technician and revise the definition of pharmacy technician and pharmacy technician in training in a housekeeping effort.
- Rule 010.37: strike “or distributor’s original container” and “authorized to dispense in the establishment in which the prepackaging occurred” from the definition of “pre-packaging”.
- Rule 010.42: change “rule it out” to “prohibit it”.
- Rule 010.43: change “non-legend” to “non-prescription”
- Rule 010.49: The Board discusses the definition of telepharmacy at length. Ms Berggren believes this definition is extraneous. To be discussed at the 4/28/11 meeting as the Board further considers telepharmacy rules.
- Rule 010.50: “therapeutic equivalent drugs” Ms Berggren to research “B” rated drugs.
- Rule 010.52: Ms Berggren to research definitions of “vending machines”.
- Rule 010.53-56: discussion on definitions pertaining VDOs was tabled until 4/28/11, as VDO draft rules are not created yet.
- The definition of institutional pharmacy to be un-struck as it is used in the verification technician rule.

Glenn Luke presented the fiscal report to date;

- Comparison of the fiscal year budget to expenses is right on track regarding personnel cost. Operations are currently under budget.
- The Board staff has found a new office location to move into with an anticipated move in date of May 1, 2011. The option of signing a 10 year lease in order to lock in the low rental rates that are currently available is being considered.

- New cars for Mike Brown and Lisa Culley have been ordered but have not been received, so the capital outlay budget remains nearly in full.

Legislation and Rule Review continued;

- Rule 011: move the abbreviation list to before definitions, so that abbreviations can be used in the definitions. Use the abbreviation the first time it is used in the rule book. Add Automated Dispensing and Storage (ADS) and Pharmacist In Charge (PIC) to this list. VDO refers to the outlet, not the order.
- The Board discusses a potential new grandfathering rule. Ms Berggren to consider individual grandfathering in various locations Vs a separate rule and report back.
- Rule 023.02: replace “is” with “may” and strike “all other”, in a housekeeping effort.
- Reorganize the “fee schedule” by moving 027.03.ii to 027.03.b.iii., striking 027.03.a.i., and moving \$100 fee to 027.03.a.
- Rule 27.03.h.ii: Write into rule that parental admixture pharmacies must be registered as a limited service outlet.
- Rule 030: Ms Berggren to remove “and(s)” to make less awkward.
- Rule 032: is not clear enough that this is the electronic record and not the “hard copy”. Add “if applicable” to 05, “07: directions for use”, and “prescriber”.

During open public comment Sam Hoagland R.Ph spoke regarding controlled substance record retention for pharmacies. He asked the Board to consider increasing the amount of time required to retain records to 5 or even 6 years. The Board tabled this discussion until later in the day.

Dr. Henggeler called on Mathew Ray District Pharmacy Manager of SUPERVALU to comment on how the Minnesota Board of Pharmacy is considering whether Minnesota Pharmacies that have drive through kiosks in their parking lots would be classified as telepharmacy. Mr. Fraser commented that Fred Meyers currently have two (2) drive through kiosks in Idaho that are located in the parking lot and they are not considered telepharmacy.

Dr. Henggeler called the meeting to order after a lunch break.

Legislation and Rule Review discussion continued;

- Rules 033.03, 034, and 035, concerning electronic record keeping, are not applicable to institutional pharmacy. The terms “refill” and “fill” are to be harmonized.

- Rule 037, electronic record keeping: “drug outlet” to be changed to “pharmacy”.
- Rule 039, electronic record keeping exemption: the exemption should refer to the specific rule(s) exempted.
- Rule 043.02: add “that precludes a continued patient-prescriber relationship” after “prescriber’s change of status”.
- Rule 046.02: change “may” to “is”, in a housekeeping effort.
- Rule 048: Ms Berggren to provide past attorney opinion concerning student pharmacist’s transferring controlled substances.
- Rule 050.02.a, Emergency CII: clarify by adding “verbal”.
- Rule 050.02.g, 055.06, and elsewhere: The Board decides to delete all references to “central fill pharmacies” until; the topic can be adequately addressed in full.
- Rule 051.02: move to rule 048.
- Rule 052.04: change “two business days” to “readily retrievable”, which is confirmed to be defined as 3 days.
- Rule 054.b.i: strike new proposed language in its entirety.
- Rule 054.b.ii: Long Term Care Facility (LTCF) should be in the abbreviations list.
- Rule 055.06: delete in its entirety.
- Rules 056 and 057: Eventually, an intern should research what other medical boards allow or disallow in prescribing for oneself or family members.
- Rule 058: should be extended to all prescriptions, not just controlled substances, a prescriber should have access to all of the prescription drug orders that they issue.
- Rule 059: strike “all” and “by mail”, in a housekeeping effort.
- Rule 059.01: future statute change should involve allowing access to Prescription Monitoring Program (PMP) when providing pharmaceutical care.
- Rule 060: The Board questions the term ADS as a misnomer.
- Rule 060.01: add ADS registration to fee list in rule 027. Registration to be free and should be one per facility, not per ADS.

- Rules 060.01.e, 060.03.b, ADS: strike proposed language in its entirety.
- Rule 060.04.d: strike “pharmacy student or”, as extraneous.
- Rule 060: missing a section to regulate stocking of ADS, such as struck language after rule 061.11.d.
- Rule 060.05.b: strike “a student pharmacist or” as extraneous and add “dispensing site” after “remote”, as a housekeeping effort.
- Rule 060.07.c: lot number not available for drugs dispensed via PIXIS type machines. Ms Berggren to alter requirement.
- Rule 060.04 to 060.07: move and break into subsequent rules.
- Rule 060.09: will need revising if House Bill 5 passes, as prescribers will be subject to certain counseling requirements.
- Rule 060.09.b: must address ADS in prescriber’s offices too.
- Rule 063: If a requirement of policy and procedures can be changed to be simply required or if a policy and procedures manual is extraneous, it is to be removed from this draft.
- Rule 063.02: add “prepackaged” so that this section is explanatory enough to know the act is performed by the pharmacy and not the manufacturer.
- Rule 063.06.c.i: strike this proposed language.
- Rule 070: add requirement for species of animal, if applicable, to labeling requirement.
- Rule 070.05 strike “and when applicable to patient’s location” from labeling requirement.
- Rule 071: un-strike “whether within or” from labeling requirement.
- Rule 072: utilize abbreviation “ADS”, add “authorized” before “uses”, and strike “ed” from “labeled” in a housekeeping effort.
- Rule 072.02: strike in its entirety, as extraneous.
- Rule 073: strike proposed rule on central fill in its entirety.

Representative Sue Chew, also an Idaho licensed Pharmacist, presented proposed legislation RS19965C2. Rep. Chew explained that the purpose of this proposed

legislation is to provide similar standards for out-of-state mail service pharmacies as are in place for other retail pharmacies in Idaho. When a prescription for a new medication is dispensed in retail pharmacies in Idaho, the pharmacist must counsel as per House Bill #5. Rep Chew believes the same should be required for mail order pharmacies, via telephone. Additionally, Rep Chew believes that a pharmacist or a technician should offer to counsel mail service pharmacy patients via telephone on all medications dispensed, as House Bill #5 requires. The Board directs Mr. Johnston to testify at the Idaho Legislature in favor of this proposed language.

Legislation and Rule Review discussion continued;

- Rule 075: Change “of” in title to “to”, in a housekeeping effort.
- Rule 075.05: strike in its entirety, as a duplicate of statute.
- Rule 100.02: change title to “notification”.
- Rule 104.01: change “June 30th” in title to “renewal”.
- Rule 106, inactive pharmacist license: Mr. Johnston will further review.
- Rule 110: move to rule 120.
- Rules 111, 112, 121: harmonize terms “pharmacist”, “registered pharmacist” and “licensed pharmacist”, here and in all rules.
- Rules 120.03 and 120.06: combine with rule 120.01.
- Rule 121.01, pharmacist independent practice: add “or institutional facility” after “pharmacy”.
- Rule 121.02: change so that prescription cannot be depoted at a non-pharm.
- Rule 122.01, immunizations: after “contraindications” add language from current rule 166.03, “pursuantauthorities”. Add “another” before “prescription”. Strike “to a compromised patient with an absolute or a relative contraindication to receive the immunization”. Pursuant to Ms Blain’s testimony earlier in the day, add “or pursuant to a prescription drug order issued by another prescriber”.
- Rule 122.05: eliminate requirement of policy and procedures manual, but keep the mandate.
- Rule 130: change “drug outlet” to pharmacy” in a housekeeping effort.
- Create free extern renewal, so that applicants answer renewal questions.
- Rule 160: add discipline parameters, such as in rule 211.

- Rule 170: rename “Pharmacist Recovery Network (PRN)”.
- Rule 180: add “student pharmacist” to the list subject to unprofessional conduct rules.
- Rule 180.01: change “tends to” to “may” and strike “culpable”, as per Mr. Snook’s recommendations.
- Rule 180.02: change “profession” to “practice”.
- Rule 180.10: wordsmith, such as “counseling” coming before “offer”.
- Rule 180.14: change to “failure to follow Board orders”, as per Mr. Snook’s recommendation.
- Rule 190, CPE: move by rule 106, change “sponsored” to “accredited or certified”.
- Rule 194: record retention to be 3 years, but this particular rule can be struck.
- Rule 210.03.f: change “technician-checking-technician” to “verification”, in an housecleaning effort.
- Rule 511.01: change “take” to “conduct”, in a housekeeping effort.
- Rule 511.03: alter to mandate controlled substance inventory from incoming PIC only.
- Rule 514 controlled substance disposal: strike in its entirety.
- Rule 540.02.a, sterile products: strike “and require gloves to be worn at all times”.
- Rules 560 & 561: combine.
- Rule 561.02: add “institutional” before “facility”, in a housekeeping effort.
- Rule 61, pharmacy approval: un-strike “new or remodeled” in title.
- Rule 612.02, patient counseling area: begin with “create and maintain” and change “handicapped accessible” to “ADA compliant”.
- Rules 612, 613, 617 & 661: create grandfathering for lavatory, security alarm, patient counseling areas, and remote dispensing pharmacies, similar to electronic record keeping.

- Rule 614: define “non-institutional” and use “Protected Health Information” (PHI), adding it to the abbreviations list.
- Rule 616, pharmacy product storage and removal: strike “or an alternative designated storage area within an institutional facility” and “remain locked at all times”. Add “when closed”.
- Rule 617.03, pharmacy security: strike “or its representatives”.
- Rule 620.04: strike this proposed language in its entirety.
- Rule 650: utilize abbreviation DME, revise list.
- Rule 660: strike in its entirety, as a duplicate of the definition.
- Rule 661.01.c: strike proposed language: “with an established program of pharmaceutical care that ensures prescription orders are reviewed by a pharmacist before release to a patient”.
- Rule 661.04: Board requests a new title.
- Rule 661.10.d: strike “and” and replace with “an”, in a housekeeping effort.
- Rule 662.01.b: strike “managing” and replace with “supervising”.
- Rule 662.03: strike the 2nd sentence (proposed language).
- Rule 670, parental admixture pharmacy: not its own category. Not a drug outlet. Hood registration only. If attached to a pharmacy can be a limited service outlet. Retain one registration per drug outlet, not per hood.
- Rule 670.02: update with current International Organization for Standardization (ISO) terminology.
- Rule 712.04.d, drug use storage and accountability: add “emergency department”.
- Rule 712.04.f: strike “when the admixture of parenteral products is not performed within the institutional pharmacy”.
- Rule 721.01: access to emergency cabinets occurs when pharmacist is on duty. Ms Berggren to alter language.
- Rule 722.06: strike proposed language in its entirety.
- Rule 724: pharmacies are not licensed, harmonize.

- Rule 730.01.d: waive lot number requirement if stored electronically.
- Rule 730.02, centralized pharmacy services: un-strike a, b, and c.
- Rule 913.01: require USP storage for wholesalers.

The Board approved of the changes via unanimous consent.

Mr. Fraser motioned to adjourn, Dr. de Blaquiére seconded. The vote was unanimous. Meeting adjourned at 8:30 p.m.

March 4, 2011

Chairman Holly Henggeler, Pharm D, called the meeting to order on March 4, 2011 at 8:08 a.m. In attendance were Board members Berk Fraser, R.Ph.; Nicole Chopski, Pharm D; and Rich de Blaquiére, Pharm D; Mark Johnston, R.Ph., Executive Director; Jenifer Marcus, DAG; Andy Snook, DAG; , Fred Collings, Chief Investigator; Jan Atkinson, Senior Compliance Officer; Lisa Culley, Compliance Officer; Mike Brown, Compliance Officer; Gina Knittel, Compliance Officer; and Wendy Hatten.

Toni Van Valkenburg, Pharm D, of Wind River Pharmacy Solutions, located in the state of Wyoming, also an Idaho licensed pharmacist, presented to the Board regarding remote order entry services that her company provides. Dr. Van Valkenburg asked if she can register her business as a telepharmacy across state lines and presented a letter of support from Bonner General Hospital. Ms. Marcus, Mr. Johnston and all Board members discussed at length whether Dr. Van Valkenburg is working within the parameters of rule 165.03: independent practice. Dr. Chopski motioned that Dr. Van Valkenburg is performing within the allowable function of her Idaho license, Mr. Fraser seconded. The motion carried unanimously.

Chris Ellis presented the most recent proposed rules regarding regulation of naturopathic medicine. There has been significant change since it was last presented to the Board. All members of the Board and Mr. Johnston had comments and questions for Ms. Ellis. Mr. Fraser motioned to support the current proposal. Dr. Chopski seconded the motion. The motion carried 2-1 with Dr. de Blaquiére sustaining.

Ms. Marcus presented to the Board the contested hearing cases of Susan Paulson, R.Ph & Elizabeth Bakken, R.Ph. The Board members voted in favor of a consolidated hearing. Mr. William Campbell, the complainant, whose accusations included a lack of an offer to counsel, was not present. Mr. Snook questioned Ms. Atkinson and Ms. Knittel about the information received regarding the complaint as well as the results of the investigation. Ms. Paulson and Ms. Bakken both made comments. The Board members questioned Ms. Paulson, Ms. Bakken, Ms. Atkinson and Ms. Knittel. Dr. de Blaquiére motioned that the Board cannot determine that a violation was made. Dr. Chopski seconded. The motion carried unanimously.

Via teleconference, Mr. Roger Wood, R.Ph, requested reinstatement of his previously voluntarily surrendered pharmacist license. Mr. Snook questioned Mr. Wood regarding his contract currently in place with Southworth Associates, the administrator of the Board's pharmacy recovery network (PRN). Mr. Wood stated that he has been compliant with all requirements except for taking one specific course. Mr. Wood indicated that the reason he hasn't completed the course is because he has been unable to find a company that delivers that specific course. Mr. Snook then questioned Ashley Gouchner of PRN, and she confirmed that recent contact with Dr. Peter Graham of Acumen indicates a course can be created for Mr. Wood. Dr. de Blaquiére motioned to accept the Board staff's position to deny reinstatement until Mr. Wood can complete

the agreed terms of his contract with PRN. Mr. Fraser seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Dr. Henggeler called the meeting back to order after a lunch break.

Mr. Johnston presented the travel calendar. Mr. Johnston, Dr. Henggeler and Dr. Chopski will be attending the Annual NABP meeting in San Antonio, TX in May. Teresa Anderson will be attending a meeting on educating practitioners which will be funded by a national grant. Mr. Johnston and Ms. Anderson will be attending the Alliance of States with PMP's Annual meeting in Washington, DC, in June.

Mr. Johnston presented House and Senate bills of interest & their current status in the Legislature;

- House Bill 19: Medical Marijuana: introduced to the house committee for the first reading on January 19th, and was sent to print on January 20th.
- House Bill 117: State Sovereignty: passed the house committee and was sent to the senate committee for the first reading on February 17th.
- Senate Bill 1013: Uniform Controlled Substances regarding Blunt Wraps: introduced to the senate committee on January 18th.
- House Bill 139: regarding Spice: passed in both the house and senate committees as of March 4th.
- House Bill 119: regarding Bath Salts: passed in both the house and senate committees as of March 4th.
- Senate Bill 1102: Wholesale Drug Distribution Act regarding Veterinary Medicine: passed the senate committee and was sent to the house committee on March 2nd.
- Senate Bill 1070: Assisted Suicide: sent to the 14th order as of February 23rd.
- House Bill H0028: Medical Consent and Natural Death Act: introduced to the house committee on January 20th.
- House Bill H0176: Health Care Professional Transparency Act: introduced to the house committee on February 17th.
- House Bill H0218: Practice of Pharmacy change for fluoride supplements and agents for active immunizations: introduced to the house committee on March 3rd.

Mr. Johnston presented the reciprocity application for Mr. Mark Longo, R.Ph. The Board licensing staff thought the action taken by the Utah Board of Pharmacy warranted Board member review before potential approval of his application. Mr. Longo resides in Utah where he is currently licensed as a pharmacist. He is the pharmacist in charge for an out of state pharmacy that services long term care facilities and wishes to be licensed in all the states that his company does business in. The Board members questioned Mr. Longo. Mr. Longo explained details regarding his two previous disciplinary actions. Ms. Marcus read the laws pertaining to reciprocity applicants. Mr. Fraser motioned to accept Mr. Longo's reciprocity application. Dr. de Blaquiére seconded. The motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Johnston presented Board Case Number 07-79 explaining that the practitioners order with the Board of Medicine (BOM) is protected by Idaho Code from being released as a public document. Recently, the BOM signed a second order that released the practitioner from the original BOM order. The practitioner has requested that our Board do the same. As our current order for this practitioner is contingent upon a now defunct BOM order, the Board's staff recommends an affirmative vote, allowing our Board chair to sign the attached order releasing the practitioner from our Board's order. Mr. Johnston also requested the Board delegate authority to the Board's executive director, so that these issues can be resolved within the office in the future. Dr. Chopski motioned to give delegated authority to act on orders when they are close to but not mirroring the BOM orders. Mr. Fraser seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously. The Board directed Mr. Johnston to exercise this newly delegated authority to amend the stipulation for his signature.

Mr. Snook presented pharmacy technician Violeta Medina-Segura's stipulation and consent order involving violations of rule #184.04 for failing to strictly follow the instructions of the person making, writing or order of a prescription and section 37-2722, Idaho Code, for assisting with and/or participating in dispensing a controlled substance without a written prescription. The pharmacist involved in this case was disciplined during the last Board meeting. Dr. Chopski motioned to approve stipulation as written. Mr. Fraser seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Board Case Number 09-617 was vacated.

Mr. Snook presented an amended stipulation regarding Michael Gardner R.Ph. Mr. Gardner had three (3) alcohol offenses but at the time of discipline was convicted on only two (2) of the offences. Mr. Gardner has since plead guilty on the 3rd offence and received a withheld judgment. The amended stipulation updates and clarifies Mr. Gardner's criminal record, mandates that he remain compliant within the PRN program, and extends the time to pay his administrative fine. So long as Mr. Gardner is in compliance with the stipulation he is eligible to request reinstatement on October 23, 2011 without a hearing. Dr. Chopski motioned to accept the amended stipulation and consent order. Mr. Fraser seconded. The motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Johnston presented Board cases 10-026 & 11-017 and requested the Board delegate authority to the executive director to refer these and future cases as is deemed necessary by the executive director, to hearing officers. The hearing officers will hear the case, present finding of facts, and then suggest discipline to the Board. The Board can then decide to accept, reject or modify the hearing officer's findings and suggested discipline. There is an existing budget in place for cost related to hearing officers. The cost could also be recovered should parties be found guilty. All Board members are in unanimous consent to give Mr. Johnston delegated authority to decide if a hearing officer is necessary.

There were no open public comments.

Dr. de Blaquiére left the meeting 1:55 pm.

The Department of Justice (DOJ) makes grants available to create or enhance Prescription Monitoring Programs (PMP), and the Board has recently received a DOJ grant to share PMP data with other states through a national 'hub'. The National Association of Boards of Pharmacy (NABP) is also creating a similar hub and will be providing their program free of charge. The individual states aren't settled on which system they will use, including Idaho.

During inspector Q&A Ms. Atkinson presented to the Board that there are several registered out-of-state mail service pharmacies located in Washington state that are repackaging previously dispensed prescription medications then sending them to Idaho assisted living facilities. After a lengthy discussion and reading of the statutes that included the Board members, Mr. Brown, Mr. Johnston, Mr. Snook and Ms. Marcus, the Board directed Ms. Atkinson to send a complaint to the Washington Board of Pharmacy then bring the results of the complaint back to the Board for further discussion.

The drug compliance officers are finding at inspection that several pharmacies are receiving controlled substance prescriptions that are electronically signed. After a lengthy discussion that included, Ms. Atkinson, Mr. Brown, Ms. Knittel, Lisa Culley, and Mr. Johnston the Board directed the Board staff to educate the practitioners anytime the chance arises. Also, Ms. Atkinson will create a handout for the drug compliance officers to give to the pharmacist to fax to the practitioners.

Mr. Brown is concerned with yesterday's discussion about the proposed rule change regarding installing alarms in hospital pharmacies when they are new or remodeled. The nurses are in and out of the pharmacy so the potential for the alarm system to not be reset is high. Dr. Chopski has offered to take Board members on a tour of all of her work stations the day before the next board meeting.

Mr. Fraser moved to adjourn the meeting. Dr. Chopski seconded the motion. Meeting adjourned @ 3:30pm.